arXiv:1402.1484v1 [cs.CG] 6 Feb 2014

Counting Euclidean embeddings of rigid graphs

Ioannis Z. Emiris and Ioannis D. Psarros

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Dept. of Informatics and Telecommunications

February 7, 2014

Abstract

A graph is called (generically) rigid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ if, for any choice of sufficiently generic edge lengths, it can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in a finite number of distinct ways, modulo rigid transformations. Here we deal with the problem of determining the maximum number of planar Euclidean embeddings as a function of the number of the vertices. We obtain polynomial systems which totally capture the structure of a given graph, by exploiting distance geometry theory. Consequently, counting the number of Euclidean embeddings of a given rigid graph, reduces to the problem of counting roots of the corresponding polynomial system.

1 Introduction

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$ and a collection of edge lengths $d_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, a Euclidean embedding of G in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a mapping of its vertices to a set of points $p_1, \ldots, p_n$, such that $d_{ij} = \|p_i - p_j\|$, for all $\{i, j\} \in E$. We call a graph (generically) rigid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ iff, for generic edge lengths, it can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in a finite number of ways, modulo rigid transformations (translations and rotations). A graph is minimally rigid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ iff it is no longer rigid once any edge is removed. The main goal is to determine the maximum number of distinct planar Euclidean embeddings of minimally rigid graphs, up to rigid transformations, as a function of the number of vertices.

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is called Laman if $|E| = 2|V| - 3$ and, additionally, all of its vertex-induced subgraphs with $3 \leq k < |V|$ vertices to have less than $2k - 3$

edges. It is a fundamental theorem that the class of Laman graphs coincides with the generically minimally rigid graphs in the plane.

A general upper bound on the number of embeddings, for the planar case, is $\binom{2n-4}{n-2} \approx \frac{4^{n-2}}{\sqrt{\pi(n-2)}}$. The bound was obtained by exploiting results from complex algebraic geometry that bound the degree of (symmetric) determinantal varieties defined by distance matrices [1, 2, 6]. In [9], mixed volumes (cf. Section 1.2) also yield an upper bound of 4^{n-2}, for the planar case.

Here, and in [11], we present an approach, based on distance geometry, which was first proposed in [3] and [5] for finding an upper bound on the number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations. This approach was used in order to determine an upper bound for the case of Laman graphs with 7 vertices [3], which turns out to be tight. The same method led to a quite tight bound for the case $n = 8$ in [10]. However, the approach, as applied in both cases, was not entirely correct, which led to different errors in these two proofs. Our contribution is to rectify both proofs in the following sections. Interestingly, the upper bound for $n = 7$ is correct.

In Table 1, we list the known upper bounds on the number of embeddings of rigid graphs with up to $n = 10$ vertices, also presented in [5]. These results constitute an improvement over the results in [4], as we now include the tight bound for $n = 7$. The bounds for $n = 9, 10$ are simply multiples by 4 of the respective bounds for $n - 1$.

Number of Vertices	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Upper Bound	2	4	8	24	56	128	512	2048

Table 1: Upper bounds

2 Laman graphs and Henneberg steps

We will use a combinatorial characterization of rigidity in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which is based on Laman graphs [7, 8]. A graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$ is called Laman iff $|E| = 2n - 3$ and all of its induced subgraphs on $k < n$ vertices have $\leq 2k - 3$ edges. It is a fundamental theorem (Maxwell 1864 - Laman 1970) that a graph is minimally rigid in $\mathbb{R}^2$ iff it is a Laman graph. Moreover, we know that Laman graphs admit inductive constructions that begin with a triangle, followed by a sequence of so-called Henneberg steps (Henneberg constructions). A graph is Laman iff it has a Henneberg construction. Each Henneberg step adds to the graph a new vertex and a total number of two edges.

A Henneberg-1 (or H_1) step connects the new vertex to two existing vertices and it doubles the number of Euclidean embeddings.



Figure 1: H_1 step

A Hennenerg-2 (or H_2) step connects the new vertex to three existing vertices having at least one edge among them, and this edge is removed.



Figure 2: H_2 step

A Laman graph is called H_1 if it can be constructed using only H_1 steps and it is called H_2 otherwise.

3 Polynomial systems and mixed volume

Given a polynomial f in n variables, its support is the set of exponents in N^n corresponding to nonzero terms. The Newton polytope of f is the convex hull of its support and lies in R^n. Consider the Minkowski sum of the scaled polytopes $\lambda_1 P_1 + + \lambda_n P_n \in R^n$. Minkowski's theorem states that its n-dimensional Euclidean volume is a polynomial in $\lambda_1, .., \lambda_n$ homogeneous, of degree n. The mixed volume is the coefficient of the monomial $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f_1 = \cdots = f_n = 0$ be a polynomial system in n variables with real coefficients, where the f_i have fixed supports. Then, the number of common isolated solutions in $(C)^n$ is bounded above by the mixed volume of (the Newton polytopes of) the f_i . This bound is tight for a generic choice of coefficients of the f_i s.*

4 Distance Geometry

Given a graph with n vertices, the corresponding pre-distance matrix is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix D, where $D_{ij} = d_{ij}^2$. The corresponding Cayley-Menger matrix $CM(D)$ is a symmetric $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix B where $B_{0i} = 1$, $B_{i0} = 1$ for $0 < i \leq n$ and $B_{ij} = D_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Theorem 2. *Let B be a Cayley-Menger matrix as defined before. Then B corresponds to a graph embeddable in $\mathbb{R}^d$ if*

- *rank*$(B) \leq d + 2$,
- $(-1)^k D(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) \geq 0$, $\forall k \geq 2$,

where $D(\cdot)$ is any minor of B indexed by $0, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$.

In other words, $D(\cdot)$ must also be Cayley-Menger. The second condition yields inequalities. For instance, for $k = 2$ it yields the fact that all distances must be positive and for $k = 3$ it yields the triangular inequality. The first condition implies the vanishing of all $(d + 3) \times (d + 3)$ Cayley-Menger minors. We use this condition in order to construct well-constrained square systems whose roots correspond to Euclidean Distance Matrix Completions of B. Note that these systems do not contain all of the unknown variables but they uniquely define the ones not contained.

Hence, an upper bound in the number of roots is equivalent to an upper bound in the number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations and reflection. Multiplying by 2 yields an upper bound in the number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations. This fact was not taken into consideration in [3, 5]. However, the upper bounds obtained here are actually the same with [3, 5], a fact that lead us to the conjecture that there exist systems that count the exact number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations.

The case $n = 6$

We apply the aforementioned approach in the Desargues framework which is known to have 24 Euclidean embeddings in the plane.



Figure 3: Desargues Graph

The corresponding Cayley-Menger Matrix is the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} & x_{15} & x_{16} \\ 1 & c_{12} & 0 & c_{23} & x_{24} & c_{25} & x_{26} \\ 1 & c_{13} & c_{23} & 0 & x_{34} & x_{35} & c_{36} \\ 1 & c_{14} & x_{24} & x_{34} & 0 & c_{45} & c_{46} \\ 1 & x_{15} & c_{25} & x_{35} & c_{45} & 0 & c_{56} \\ 1 & x_{16} & x_{26} & c_{36} & c_{46} & c_{56} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We are now able to find at least one subsystem that satisfies the laman property and hence it has finitely many roots. The following 3×3 system also uniquely defines the rest of the unknown variables.

$$D(1,4,5,6)(c_{14}, c_{45}, c_{46}, c_{56}, x_{15}, x_{16}) = 0$$

$$D(1,3,5,6)(c_{13}, c_{36}, c_{56}, x_{15}, x_{16}, x_{35}) = 0$$

$$D(1,2,3,5)(c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{23}, c_{25}, x_{15}, x_{35}) = 0$$

This system has mixed volume equal to 12. In other words, it yields at most 12 complex roots. Hence, 12 is an upper bound on the Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations and reflection. Taking symmetry into account, we have at most 24 Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations.

Another case of interest is that of the complete bipartite graph with 6 vertices.



Figure 4: $K_{3,3}$

The corresponding Cayley-Menger matrix is the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & c_{14} & c_{15} & c_{16} \\ 1 & x_{12} & 0 & x_{23} & c_{24} & c_{25} & c_{26} \\ 1 & x_{13} & x_{23} & 0 & c_{34} & c_{35} & c_{36} \\ 1 & c_{14} & c_{24} & c_{34} & 0 & x_{45} & x_{46} \\ 1 & c_{15} & c_{25} & c_{35} & x_{45} & 0 & x_{56} \\ 1 & c_{16} & c_{26} & c_{36} & x_{46} & x_{56} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We choose the following system which satisfies the abovementioned properties:

$$D(1,2,3,6)(c_{16}, c_{26}, c_{36}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}) = 0$$

$$D(1,2,3,5)(c_{15}, c_{25}, c_{35}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}) = 0$$

$$D(1,2,3,4)(c_{14}, c_{24}, c_{34}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}) = 0$$

This system has mixed volume equal to 11 which yields an upper bound of 22 in the number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations.

5 The case $n = 7$

This case was first studied in [3]. However, the property that an embedding and its mirror image preserve distances between points, was not taken into account. However, although we provide a new and correct proof, the same results are eventually obtained.

Among all Laman graphs, there are only 3 H_2 graphs, we have to consider. All H_1 graphs have $2^5 = 32$ Euclidean embeddings. We can obtain an upper bound of

52 and 48 Euclidean embeddings for the two of these graphs. The H_2 graph with the highest number of Euclidean embeddings is the following:



Figure 5: Worst case for $n = 7$

The corresponding Cayley-Menger matrix is the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} & x_{15} & x_{16} & c_{17} \\ 1 & c_{12} & 0 & c_{23} & x_{24} & c_{25} & x_{26} & x_{27} \\ 1 & c_{13} & c_{23} & 0 & x_{34} & x_{35} & c_{36} & x_{37} \\ 1 & x_{14} & x_{24} & x_{34} & 0 & x_{45} & c_{46} & c_{47} \\ 1 & x_{15} & c_{25} & x_{35} & x_{45} & 0 & c_{56} & c_{57} \\ 1 & x_{16} & x_{26} & c_{36} & c_{46} & c_{56} & 0 & x_{67} \\ 1 & c_{16} & x_{26} & x_{36} & c_{46} & c_{56} & x_{67} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can obtain an upper bound for this graph from the following system.

$$D(1,4,5,7)(c_{14}, c_{17}, c_{47}, c_{57}, x_{15}, x_{45}) = 0$$

$$D(1,3,5,6)(c_{13}, c_{36}, c_{56}, x_{15}, x_{16}, x_{35}) = 0$$

$$D(1,4,5,6)(c_{14}, c_{46}, c_{56}, x_{15}, x_{16}, x_{45}) = 0$$

$$D(1,2,3,5)(c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{23}, c_{25}, x_{15}, x_{35}) = 0$$

Its mixed volume equals to 28. Hence, we obtain an upper bound of 56 Euclidean embeddings.

6 The case $n = 8$

The case of graphs with 8 vertices was studied in [10]. The authors conclude that the upper bound follows from the upper bound of 27 specific H_2 graphs. Unfortunately, the systems used in order to determine the number of embeddings for these 27 graphs do not satisfy the Laman property. Hence, the final result in [10] is not correct. In the following paragraphs, we present the difficulties we faced while trying to correct this error, and we make a conjecture about the actual upper bound on the number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations in the case of Laman graphs with 8 vertices.

Notice that the systems which provide us with upper bounds in the case of graphs with 6 and 7 vertices, are of size $(n-3) \times (n-3)$, where n denotes the number of vertices. Unfortunately, in the case of graphs with 8 vertices, there are graphs for which, we can only obtain systems of size $(n-2) \times (n-2)$. The mixed volume of these systems, multiplied by 2 is not representative of the maximum number of Euclidean embeddings.

However, experiments show that these systems take into account reflection and count the number of Euclidean embeddings modulo rigid transformations. In other words, we conjecture that we do not have to multiply by 2, the mixed volume we obtain from some bigger systems. We expect this to happen for general n. However, this is only a conjecture.

In table (6), we present the mixed volumes obtained by systems that are of size $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ and also satisfy the Laman property for the abovementioned 27 graphs.



Figure 6: Graphs first studied in [10]

Hence, we expect that the upper bound on the number of embeddings for Laman graphs with 8 vertices is 122, while the current upper bound is 128.

7 Further Work

The most relative open problem to our work is to understand whether there exist systems that take also into account reflection. If we prove that systems like the ones used for the results of table [6], count also embeddings produced by reflection of other embeddings, we will have an upper bound for the graphs with 8 vertices.

Undoubtedly, the most important and oldest problem in rigidity theory is the full combinatorial characterization of rigid graphs in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In the planar case, existing bounds are not tight. This is due to the fact that root counts include rotated copies of certain embeddings. A related problem is to derive an algorithmic process for obtaining good algebraic representations, e.g. for producing low mixed volumes. Distance matrices may offer such a general approach, including the spatial case. For high n the issue is that the number of equations produced is quite large, with algebraic dependancies among them.

Since we deal with Henneberg constructions, it is important to determine the effect of each step on the number of embeddings: a H_1 step always doubles their number; we conjecture that H_2 multiplies it by ≤ 4 and spatial H_3 by ≤ 8, but these may not always be tight. Our conjecture has been verified for small n.

References

[1] C. Borcea. Point configurations and Cayley-Menger varieties, 2002. arXiv:math/0207110.

[2] C. Borcea and I. Streinu. The number of embeddings of minimally rigid graphs. *Discrete Comp. Geometry*, 31(2):287-303, 2004.

[3] I.Z. Emiris and G. Moroz. The assembly modes of rigid 11-bar linkages. In Proc. 13th IFToMM World Congress in Mechanism & Machine Science, Guanajuato, Mexico, June 2011.

[4] I.Z. Emiris, E. Tsigaridas, and A. Varvitsiotis. Algebraic methods for counting Euclidean embeddings of rigid graphs. In *Graph Drawing*, LNCS, pages 195-200. Springer, 2009.

[5] I.Z. Emiris, E. Tsigaridas, and A. Varvitsiotis. Mixed volume and distance geometry techniques for counting Euclidean embeddings of rigid graphs. In L. Liberti, A. Mucherino, C. Lavor, and N. Maculan, editors, *Distance Geometry with applications to molecular conformation and sensor networks*, pages 23-45, Springer, 2013.

[6] J. Harris and L.W. Tu. On symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties. *Topology*, 23(1):71-84, 1984.

[7] G. Laman. On graphs and rigidity of plane skeletal structures. *J. Engineering Mathematics*, 4:331-340, October 1970.

[8] J.C. Maxwell. On the calculation of the equilibrium and stiffness of frames. *Philosophical Magazine*, 39(12), 1864.

[9] R. Steffens and T. Theobald. Mixed volume techniques for embeddings of Laman graphs. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 43(2):84-93, 2010.

[10] I. Emiris S. Despotakis. An upper bound on Euclidean embeddings of rigid graphs with 8 vertices. arXiv:1204.6527

[11] I. Psarros. Counting euclidean embeddings of rigid graphs. BSc thesis, Dept Informatics UOA, Greece.(in greek)